## 

Dr. Daniel Skodlerack

December 23, 2018

Aufgabe. 5.2(Iwahori decomposition and Haar measure, [BH, Exercise 7.6])

- 1. Let C be the set  $\bar{N}TN$ . Show that C is open and dense in G and that  $\bar{N}\times T\times N\to C$ ,  $(\bar{n},t,n)\mapsto \bar{n}tn$ , is a homeomorphism.
- 2. Let dg be a Haar measure on G. Show that there are Haar measures  $dn, d\bar{n}$  and dt on  $N, \bar{N}$  and T, respectively, such that for all  $f \in C_c^{\infty}(G)$

$$\int_{G} f dg = \int_{\bar{N}} \int_{T} \int_{N} \delta_{B}^{-1}(t) f(\bar{n}tn) dn dt d\bar{n}. \tag{1}$$

Note that we take as a definition of the modular character of B:  $\delta_B(b) = (b^{-1}Jb:J)$  for any compact open subgroup J of N and any  $b \in B$ . In particular this gives  $\int_B f(bb')db = \delta_B(b')^{-1} \int_B f(b)db$ . This is the way Bushnell and Henniart choose the modular character.

## Solution:

1. At first we obtain that C is open, because  $C = G \setminus wB$  where w is the anti-diagonal matrix with entries 1 in the anti-diagonal. For the homeomorphism property it is enough to show that all products  $\bar{N}_i T_i t N_i$  are open in G for every  $t \in T$ . Here  $N_i$  is the intersection of N with  $K_i := 1 + M_2(\mathfrak{p}_F)$ ,  $i \geq 1$ , similar for  $T_i$  and  $\bar{N}_i$ . In fact the set  $\bar{N}_i T_i t N_i$  is a union of sets of the form  $\bar{n}_j (K_i \cap t K_i t^{-1}) n_j t$  and thus it is open in  $\bar{N}TN$ . For the density: It is enough to show that w is in the closure of C. But this is the case, because

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \pi_F^{-i} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \pi_F^i & 1 \\ 0 & -\pi_F^{-i} + \pi_F^i \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \pi_F^i & 1 \\ 1 & \pi_F^i \end{pmatrix}.$$

2. We write  $\mu$  for the Haar measure dg on G. Recall that  $\mu$  is a non-trivial G-left invariant Radon measure on G. Let  $\tilde{\mu}$  be the Radon measure on  $G \setminus C$  which is the push forward of the product of measure  $d\bar{n} \times \delta_B^{-1}(t)dt \times dn$ , where  $d\bar{n}, dt, dn$  are chosen Haar measures. Then by Fubini (Note that the measures are locally finite, so we can apply Fubini) we have

$$\int_{G \backslash C} f d\tilde{\mu} = \int_{\bar{N}} \int_{T} \int_{N} \delta_{B}^{-1}(t) f(\bar{n}tn) dn dt d\bar{n}.$$

for every  $\tilde{\mu}$ -integrable function of  $G \setminus C$ . We have to show:

- (a) We can choose  $d\bar{n}, dt, dn$  such that  $\mu$  and  $\tilde{\mu}$  agree on the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra of  $G \setminus C$ .
- (b)  $\mu(B) = 0, B = TN.$

At first we choose  $d\bar{n}, dt, dn$  such that  $\bar{N}_1, T_1, N_1$  have measure 1. And we can assume without loss of generality that  $K_1$  has measure 1. The measures  $\mu$  and  $\tilde{\mu}$  are Radon measures and  $G \setminus C$  has a countable base. So the measures are outer regular (see Elstrodt, Maß- und Intergrationstheorie, Chapter 14, Satz 1.9 and Definition 1.7) and it is enough to show that the measures agree in enough copen (compact open) sets. Both measures agree on  $K_1$  and by the bijection

$$K_1/K_i \to \bar{N}_1/\bar{N}_i \times T/T_i \times N_1/N_i$$

which maps  $\bar{n}tnK_i$  to  $(\bar{n}\bar{N}_i, tT_i, nN_i)$  (Here you need the normality of  $K_i$  in  $K_1$  and the Iwahori decomposition.) we obtain that both measures also agree on  $K_i$  for all positive integers i. Take  $t \in T$ . Then  $K_i$  is a disjoint union of sets of the form  $\bar{n}(K_i \cap tK_it^{-1})n$ - Further  $\mu$  and  $\tilde{\mu}$  are  $\bar{N}$ -left and N-right invariant (G is unimodular.). So  $\mu$  and  $\tilde{\mu}$  agree on  $K_i \cap tK_it^{-1}$ . Suppose we have shown that  $\tilde{\mu}$  is T-right invariant, then for  $\bar{n}, t, n$  and i we have

$$\tilde{\mu}(\bar{n}\bar{N}_iT_itN_in) = \tilde{\mu}(\bar{N}_iT_itN_it^{-1}).$$

which is the disjoint union of sets of the form  $\bar{n}_j(K_i \cap tK_i t^{-1})n_j$ , and we know already that both measures agree on the latter. So they agree on  $\bar{n}\bar{N}_iT_itN_in$  and a combinatoric argument shows that both measures agree on a collection of copen sets which have the property that every open subset of  $G \setminus C$  is an increasing countable union of a family of them. So the measures agree on the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra of  $G \setminus C$  by outer regularity. The T-right invariance of  $\tilde{\mu}$  is obtained, by Fubini, noting that  $\delta_B$ ,  $\delta_B(x) := (x^{-1}N_1x : N_1)$ ,  $x \in B$ , is the modular character of any left Haar measure of B. (Note dtdn defines is a non-trivial B-left-invariant Radon measure on B, i.e. a left Haar measure, and thus  $dtdn\delta_B^{-1}$  is a right Haar measure on B.)

Now we only need to show that B and therefore wB is a zero set:

$$\mu(B) = \int_{\{1_{\bar{N}}\}} \int_{T} \int_{N} \delta_{B}(t)^{-1} dn dt d\bar{n} = 0$$

because  $\{1_{\bar{N}}\}$  is a zero set of  $\bar{N}$ .

**Aufgabe. 6.2**(Mackey's irreducibility criteria) Let G be a second countable locally profinite group and H be an open subgroup of G, such that for all  $g \in G$  the space  $(H \cap gHg^{-1})\backslash H$  is finite. (For example the condition on H is satisfied if H is open contains the center Z of G and is compact mod Z.)

Then the following assertions are equivalent for a smooth irreducible representation  $(\sigma, W)$  of H.

- 1. c-Ind $_{H}^{G}\sigma$  is irreducible.
- 2. The intertwining of  $\sigma$  in G is equal to H.
- 3.  $\operatorname{End}_G(\operatorname{c-Ind}_H^G \sigma) \cong \mathbb{C}$ .

## **Solution:**

- 1.  $\Rightarrow$  3.: If c-Ind $_H^G \sigma$  is irreducible then  $\operatorname{End}_G(\operatorname{c-Ind}_H^G \sigma) \cong \mathbb{C}$  by Schur.
- 3.  $\Leftrightarrow$  2.: We use the following Mackey-decomposition (Proposition 48):

$$\operatorname{Res}_H^G(\operatorname{c-Ind}_H^G\sigma) \cong \bigoplus_{H \backslash G/H} \operatorname{c-Ind}_{H \cap {}^g H}^H \operatorname{Res}_{H \cap {}^g H}^{g} \sigma,$$

and the second Frobenius reciprocity, as follows:

$$\begin{split} \operatorname{End}_{G}(\operatorname{c-Ind}_{H}^{G}\sigma) & \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{H}(\sigma, \operatorname{Res}_{H}^{G}\operatorname{c-Ind}_{H}^{G}\sigma) \\ & \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{H}(\sigma, \bigoplus_{H \backslash G/H} \operatorname{c-Ind}_{H \cap {}^{g}H}^{H}\operatorname{Res}_{H \cap {}^{g}H}^{g}\sigma) \\ & \cong \bigoplus_{H \backslash G/H} \operatorname{Hom}_{H}(\sigma, \operatorname{c-Ind}_{H \cap {}^{g}H}^{H}\operatorname{Res}_{H \cap {}^{g}H}^{g}\sigma), \\ & \cong \bigoplus_{H \backslash G/H} \operatorname{Hom}_{H}(\sigma, \operatorname{Ind}_{H \cap {}^{g}H}^{H}\operatorname{Res}_{H \cap {}^{g}H}^{g}\sigma), \\ & \cong \bigoplus_{H \backslash G/H} \operatorname{Hom}_{H \cap {}^{g}H}(\operatorname{Res}_{H \cap {}^{g}H}^{H}\sigma, \operatorname{Res}_{H \cap {}^{g}H}^{g}\sigma), \end{split}$$

where the 3rd isomorphism exists, since  $\sigma$  is of finite type, because it is irreducible, and the replacement from compact induction to induction in the 4th line was possible, since  $(H \cap {}^g H) \setminus H$  is finite. Thus  $\operatorname{End}_G(\operatorname{c-Ind}_H^G \sigma) \cong \mathbb{C}$  if and only if there is only one non-zero summend on the right side. The summand for the double coset H is one dimensional by Schur's Lemma, and the summand for the double coset HgH is non-zero if and only if g intertwines  $\sigma$ .

• 3.  $\Rightarrow$  1.: Suppose that c-Ind $_H^G \sigma$  is not irreducible, say c-Ind $_H^G \sigma$  has a proper subrepresentation  $\tau$ . Let  $f_0$  be a non-zero element of  $\tau$ . The H-representation  $\delta := \mathcal{H}(H)f_0$  is the subrepresentation of  $\operatorname{Res}_H^G \tau$  generated by  $f_0$ . The finiteness condition implies that there are finitely many right cosets of H, say  $Hg_i$ ,  $1 \le i \le l$ , such that every element of  $\delta$  has support in  $\bigcup_i Hg_i$ . ( $H \setminus HgH$  is finite because  $(H \cap g^{-1}Hg) \setminus H$  is finite.) We can suppose without generality that H is in the support of  $f_0$ , otherwise replace  $f_0$  by a G-conjugate of  $f_0$ .

Claim: The action of H on  $\delta$  is semisimple. By Lemma 1 below it is enough to show that  $\operatorname{Res}_{H'}^H \delta$  is semisimple for  $H' := \bigcap_i g_i^{-1} H g_i$ , because the index of H' in H is finite. Consider  $g = g_i$  for some i, and let  $\operatorname{Ind}_{Hg}\sigma$  be the set of elements of  $\operatorname{c-Ind}_H^G\sigma$  with support in Hg. We consider  $\operatorname{Ind}_{Hg}\sigma$  as a subrepresentation of  $\operatorname{Res}_{H'}^G\operatorname{c-Ind}_H^G\sigma$ . The H'-representation  $\operatorname{Ind}_{Hg}\sigma$  is isomorphic to  $\operatorname{Res}_{H'}^{g^{-1}Hg}(\sigma^g)$  by the map which sends f to f(g). The representation  $\sigma^g$  is irreducible and thus semisimple. Thus  $\operatorname{Res}_{H'}^{g^{-1}Hg}\sigma^g$  is semisimple by Lemma 1 and thus  $\operatorname{Ind}_{Hg}\sigma$  is semisimple.

We let g vary and we see that  $\operatorname{Res}_{H'}^H \delta$  is semisimple as a subrepresentation of  $\bigoplus_i \operatorname{Ind}_{Hg_i} \sigma$ . Thus by Lemma 1 the representation  $\delta$  is semisimple.

Claim:  $\sigma$  is a subpresentation of  $\delta$ . This follows easily because the image of the H-morphism

$$f \in \delta \mapsto f(1)$$

is non-zero, because H is a subset of the support of  $f_0$ . Thus this morphism is surjective by the irreducibility of  $\sigma$ , and the semisimplicity of  $\delta$  ensures that this morphism has a right-inverse.

Now we can conclude the contradiction, because by the last claim and the second Frobenius reciprocity it follows that there is a non-zero morphism of G-representations from c-Ind $_H^G \sigma$  to  $\tau$ , which contradicts  $\operatorname{End}(\operatorname{c-Ind}_H^G \sigma) = \mathbb{C}$ .

**Lemma 1** (Lemma 2.7, GL(2), Bushnell Henniart). Let G be a locally profinite group, H be an open subgroup of finite index in G and  $\sigma$  be a smooth representation of G. Then  $\sigma$  is semisimple if and only if  $\operatorname{Res}_H^G \sigma$  is semisimple.

This Lemma is a nice exercise.

**Aufgabe. 6.4** Find the cuspidal support of the Steinberg representation of  $GL_2(F)$ , i.e. of the infinite dimensional irreducible quotient of  $Ind_B^{GL_2(F)} \mathbb{1}$ , where B is the standard (upper) Borel subgroup.

**Solution:** The representation  $\pi := \operatorname{Res}_B^G \operatorname{Ind}_B^G \mathbb{1}$  has the quotient  $\delta_B^{-1}$ , via  $f \mapsto \int_N f(wn) dn$ . Thus we have a non-zero morphism F from  $\pi$  to  $\operatorname{Ind}_B^G \delta_B^{-1}$ . The representation  $\pi$  has no 1-dimensional quotient by Theorem 64. Thus the Steinberg representation is isomorphic to a subrepresentation of  $\operatorname{Ind}_B^G \delta_B^{-1}$  and therefore the cuspidal support of  $\operatorname{St}_G$  is the G-conjugacy class of  $\operatorname{Res}_T^B \delta_B^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ , because we have to consider normalized parabolic induction to detect the cuspidal support.