

$$\text{or } \begin{pmatrix} (z_1, z_2) \\ z \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} (0, z_2) \\ -2z_2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= d_6 \left( \begin{pmatrix} z_2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right).$$

At the end of I.2. we introduce further operations on chain complexes.

Def 20: (Truncation)

Let  $C$  be a chain complex,  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . We define two kind of truncations of  $C$ .

(good) Truncation of  $C$  below  $n$

$$(I_{\geq n} C)_j := \begin{cases} 0, & j < n \\ Z_n, & j = n \\ C_j, & j > n \end{cases}$$

above  $n$ :

$$(I_{< n} C)_j = C_j / (I_{\geq n} C)_j$$

trivial truncation of  $C$  above  $n$

$$(\sigma_{\geq n} C)_j := \begin{cases} C_j, & j < n \\ 0, & j \geq n \end{cases}$$

below  $n$ :

$$\sigma_{\geq n} C := C / \sigma_{< n} C$$

Remark 21:

$$H_m(I_{\geq n} C) = \begin{cases} 0, & m < n \\ H_m(C), & m \geq n \end{cases}$$

$$H_m(I_{< n} C) = \begin{cases} H_m(C), & m < n \\ 0, & m \geq n \end{cases}$$

$$H_m(\sigma_{< n} C) = \begin{cases} H_m(C), & m \leq n-1 \\ 0, & m \geq n \end{cases}$$

$$H_m(\sigma_{\geq n} C) = \begin{cases} 0, & m < n \\ C_m / B_m, & m = n \\ H_m(C), & m > n. \end{cases}$$



—34—

Def 2.3: (translation)

Let  $C$  be a chain complex  
and  $D$  be a cochain complex  
 $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

$C[p]_n := C_{n+p}$   $p^{\text{th}}$  translation  
of  $C$

$$D[p]^n := D^{n-p}$$

(move the complex in direction  
of the arrows)

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} C & \leftarrow & C & \leftarrow & C & \leftarrow & C \\ -2 & & -1 & & 0 & & 1 & & 2 \end{array}$$

$$\underline{C[2]}: \leftarrow C_0 \leftarrow C_1 \leftarrow C_2 \leftarrow C_3 \leftarrow C_4 \leftarrow$$

$$\parallel$$

$C[p]$  is on degree 0 of  $C[p]$

—35—

$$\underline{D}: \begin{array}{ccccccc} & & -1 & & 0 & & 1 & & 2 \\ D & \rightarrow & D_{-1} & \rightarrow & D_0 & \rightarrow & D_1 & \rightarrow & D_2 & \rightarrow & D_3 & \rightarrow \end{array}$$

$$\underline{D[2]}: \rightarrow D_{-2} \rightarrow D_{-1} \rightarrow D_0 \rightarrow D_1 \rightarrow \dots$$

The differential of  $C[p]$  and  $D[p]$   
is  $(-1)^p d$ .

Similarly we translate chain  
maps.

For  $f: C \rightarrow C'$ ,  $f[p]: C[p] \rightarrow C'[p]$   
is defined by  $f[p]_n = f_{n+p}$

and for cochain maps  $g: D \rightarrow D'$   
we put  $g[p]^n = g^{n+p}$ .

Example 24: Let  $C$  be a chain complex. Consider  $H(C)$  as a chain complex with 0-differentials.

$$0 \rightarrow H(C) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{C}/B(C)} \mathcal{Z}(C)[1] \xrightarrow{\mathcal{H}(C)[1]} 0$$

is an exact sequence of chain complexes.

Note:

$$C_n/B_n(C) \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{Z}_{n-1}(C) = \mathcal{Z}(C)[1]_n$$

I.3. Long exact sequences.

Theorem 25: Let  $0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C \rightarrow 0$

be a short exact sequence of chain complexes (in an abelian category)

Then  $\exists$  natural  $\partial_n: H_n(C) \rightarrow H_{n-1}(A)$

such that

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{n+1} \hookrightarrow H_n(A) &\rightarrow H_n(B) \rightarrow H_n(C) \\ \partial_n \hookrightarrow H_{n-1}(A) &\rightarrow H_{n-1}(B) \rightarrow H_{n-1}(C) \\ \partial_{n-1} \hookrightarrow H_{n-2}(A) &\rightarrow \dots \end{aligned}$$

is exact.

Here natural means:

—38—

Given a morphism  $f: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$   
 exact sequences of chain complexes

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & A & \longrightarrow & B & \longrightarrow & C \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow m_A & & \downarrow m_B & & \downarrow m_C \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & A' & \longrightarrow & B' & \longrightarrow & C' \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

$\text{Cat}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$

Then  $H_n(\mathcal{C}) \xrightarrow{\partial_n} H_{n-1}(\mathcal{C})$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} m_{C,n} \downarrow & & \downarrow m_{A,n-1} \\ H_n(\mathcal{C}) & \xrightarrow{\partial_n} & H_{n-1}(\mathcal{C}) \end{array}$$

Example 26:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z} & \xrightarrow{1} & \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} & \xrightarrow{p_1} & \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow 6 & & \downarrow 12 \oplus 6 & & \downarrow 6 \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}_{12} & \xrightarrow{(2,0)} & \mathbb{Z}_6 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2 & \xrightarrow{1 \oplus 1} & \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2 \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow 6 & & \downarrow 3 \oplus 1 & & \downarrow p_2 \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}_{12} & \xrightarrow{1} & \mathbb{Z}_6 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2 & \xrightarrow{p_1} & \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2 \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow 6 & & \downarrow 3 \oplus 1 & & \downarrow p_2 \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}_{12} & \xrightarrow{1} & \mathbb{Z}_6 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2 & \xrightarrow{p_1} & \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2 \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow 6 & & \downarrow 3 \oplus 1 & & \downarrow p_2 \end{array}$$

—39—

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \partial_4 = 0 & & & & \\ \downarrow & \xrightarrow{\pi} & H_3(A) & \xrightarrow{1} & H_3(B) & \xrightarrow{\pi} & H_3(C) \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \partial_3 = 0 & & & & \\ \downarrow & \xrightarrow{\pi} & H_2(A) & \xrightarrow{(1,0)} & H_2(B) & \xrightarrow{0 \oplus 1} & H_2(C) \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \partial_2 & & & & \\ \downarrow & \xrightarrow{\pi} & H_1(A) & \xrightarrow{1} & H_1(B) & \xrightarrow{\pi} & H_1(C) \end{array}$$

$$\partial_1 = 0 \xrightarrow{\pi} 0$$

$$\text{im } \partial_2 = \frac{3\mathbb{Z}}{6\mathbb{Z}}, \text{ ker } \partial_2 = 0 \oplus \frac{2\mathbb{Z}}{6\mathbb{Z}}$$

$$\Rightarrow \partial_2([a]_2, [a]_2) = [3a]_6$$

Terminology:  $\partial_n$  is called connecting homomorphism.

The early case of Thm 25 is the Snake Lemma.

### Lemma 27: (Snake Lemma)

Consider the following diagram in an abelian category  $\mathcal{A}$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} A_0 & \xrightarrow{f} & B_0 & \xrightarrow{g} & C_0 & \xrightarrow{h} & 0 \\ \downarrow f & & \downarrow g & & \downarrow h & & \\ 0 & \xrightarrow{} & A_1 & \xrightarrow{f} & B_1 & \xrightarrow{g} & C_1 \xrightarrow{h} 0 \end{array}$$

Suppose it is commutative with exact rows.

Then  $\exists$  a natural  $\partial: \ker(h) \rightarrow \operatorname{coker}(f)$  o.t.

$$\ker(f) \rightarrow \ker(g) \rightarrow \ker(h)$$

$$\hookrightarrow \operatorname{coker}(f) \rightarrow \operatorname{coker}(g) \rightarrow \operatorname{coker}(h)$$

is exact.

Proof: We only prove it for the case  $\mathcal{A} = R\text{-mod}$ .

(This is sufficient, but the general case can also be tried by the reader as an exercise, not using the embedding theorem)

### Construction of $\partial$ :

$$c_1 \in \ker(h)$$

Take  $a_1 \in B_1$  o.t.  $a_1 \mapsto c_1$

Put  $e_0 := g(a_1)$ . Then  $e_0 \mapsto 0$ .

Thus  $\exists a_0 \in A_0: a_0 \mapsto e_0$ .

Define  $\partial(c_1) := [a_0]_{\ker(f)}$ .

What do we need that  $A_0 \rightarrow B_0$  is injective?

Well-definedness and exactness are left as an exercise  $\square$