INTRODUCTION TO MATHEMATICAL FINANCE **PROBLEM SHEET 10**

PROF. DANIEL SKODLERACK

Problem 1 (10, time value). Let $((\underline{S}_t)_{t=\overline{0,T}}, (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t=\overline{0,T}} \text{ in } \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{P})$ be a 1-period market model with

- (i) constant spot rate r ∈ (-1,∞) and S₀⁰ = 1,
 (ii) one risky asset S = S⁽¹⁾ with possible trajectories

$$\{(S_0(\omega), S_1(\omega)) | \ \omega \in \Omega\} = \{(1, \frac{1}{2}), (1, 2)\},\$$

i.e. those trajectories are exactly the ones with positive probability,

(iii) $\mathcal{F}_0 = \{ \emptyset, \Omega \}, \ \mathcal{F}_1 = \sigma(S^{(1)}).$

We consider for every $\lambda > 0$ a new asset:

$$S_t^{(\lambda)} := \lambda S_t^{(1)}, \ t = 0, 1,$$

and the put option C_{λ}^{put} on $S^{(\lambda)}$ with strike 1. Let us assume that the market model is arbitragefree.

- (i) Show that the function $\pi_r: (0,\infty) \to (0,\infty)$ which maps λ to the arbitrage-free price of C^{put}_{λ} is convex.
- (ii) For which r there is a λ such that the put C_{λ}^{put} has a negative time value? (iii) Suppose the spot rate is equal to $\frac{1}{2}$. For which λ the put option C_{λ}^{put} has a vanishing time value?

Problem 2 (10, completeness and extremal points). Let $((\underline{S}_t)_{t=\overline{0,T}}, (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t=\overline{0,T}} \text{ in } \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{P})$ be a multi-period market model such that $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_T$ and F_0 is the trivial σ -algebra. Let \mathcal{Q} be the set of martingale measures for the discounted assets. We define for $Q \in \mathcal{Q}$ the set

$$A_{\mathbf{Q}} := \{ \hat{Q} \in \mathcal{Q} | \ \hat{Q} << \mathbf{Q} \}.$$

Prove or disprove for an element $Q \in Q$ the equivalence of the following two assertions:

(i)
$$A_Q = \{Q\}.$$

(ii) $Q \in Ext(Q)$.

Problem 3 (10, set of martingale measures). Let $((\underline{S}_t)_{t=\overline{0,T}}, (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t=\overline{0,T}} \text{ in } \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{P})$ be a twoperiod market model such that

- d = 1, i.e. we have one bond and one risky asset S,
- $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_2$ and $\mathcal{F}_t = \sigma(S_1, \dots, S_t), t = 0, 1, 2,$
- $S_t^{(0)} = 1, t = 0, 1, 2,$
- the asset S has the following possible trajectories

$$(\frac{7}{2}, 1, \frac{1}{2}), (\frac{7}{2}, 1, 2), (\frac{7}{2}, 3, 1), (\frac{7}{2}, 3, 4), (\frac{7}{2}, 4, 3), (\frac{7}{2}, 4, 5).$$

- (i) Compute the set of martingale measures for S.
- (ii) Compute the set of martingale measures for the market model.
- (iii) Visualize your results in \mathbb{R}^3 .

Problem 4 (10, bank with extra information). Suppose in $((\underline{S}_t)_{t=\overline{0,T}}, (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t=\overline{0,T}}$ in \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{P}), a complete market with trivial σ -algebra \mathcal{F}_0 , there is a bank with more information, more precisely there is a filtration $(\mathcal{G}_t)_{t=\overline{0,T}}$ in $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_T$, which satisfies

Date: Please hand in before the lecture by 12th of May 2022. For all exercises the results need to be proven using results from this lecture and the lectures before, provided you give a reference.

F_t ⊆ *G_t* ⊆ *F_{t+1}* for every *t* < *T*,
and there exists a time *s* < *T* and an index *i* ≥ 1 such that E*[X⁽ⁱ⁾, |*F_s*] ≠ E*[X⁽ⁱ⁾_{s+1}|*G_s*]

$$\mathbf{E}^*[\mathbf{X}_{s+1}^{(i)}|\mathcal{F}_s] \neq \mathbf{E}^*[\mathbf{X}_{s+1}^{(i)}|\mathcal{G}_s]$$

for the *i*th asset with respect to the unique martingale measure P^* .

Show that the bank has an arbitrage opportunity, and explain how you get a realizing trading strategy in terms of $(\mathcal{G}_t)_{t=\overline{0,T}}$ and completeness.